UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,991	03/31/2004	Christophe Del Toso	852263.412	6081	
38106 [.] SEED INTELL	7590 05/15/2007 LECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			TRAN, KHAI		
SEATTLE, WA	A 98104-7092		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				CF3
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/813,991	DEL TOSO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	KHAI TRAN	2611	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	:s
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	N. imely filed the mailing date of this commuleD (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).	·
Status				•
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		rits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) □ 6) ☒ 7) ☒ 8) □ Applicat i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,16 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4-6,10-15,17-20 and 22-28 is/are objection(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath of the oath of the the oath of the	wn from consideration. ected to. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	, ,
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	je
A441	w.s			
2) Notic 3) Inforr Pape	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/2004.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/813,991

Art Unit: 2611

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4, 17-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 4, line 2, the term "lengthdriven" should be changed to--length driven--.

Claims 17-20 should depend on claim 16 instead of claim 1.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/813,991

Art Unit: 2611

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 16, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Isakksson et al (U.S. Pat. 6,865,232).

Regarding claim 1, Isakksson et al disclose a process for configuring a symmetric xDSL-type modem, comprising: detecting a predetermined criterion corresponding to an asymmetric operating mode, in particular an ADSL-type, such criterion including the estimate of length of a line; and in response to the detection, disabling a number of carriers in order to establish the asymmetric operating mode (col. 10, lines 4-22, wherein the cable length for MUSIC can be successfully limited to 1300 meters).

Regarding claim 2, Isakksson et al disclose wherein it is applied to a VDSL-type modem operating with up to 4096 carriers being reconfigurable in ADSL mode with a number of carriers reduced to 256. In order to meet criterion of the ADSL mode, it is inherent that the carriers of the VDSL-type mode (or transmission) should be reduced to 256 carriers.

Regarding claim 3, Isakksson et al disclose wherein the criterion further includes the measurement of the signal to noise ratio (col. 2, lines 19-28).

Claims 7-9 are similar to claims 1-3. Therefore, claims 7-9 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Claim 16 is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 16 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,991

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 21 is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 21 is rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6, 10-15, 17-20, 22-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Palm (US 2002/0041572 A1) discloses handshaking communication system for multiple xDSL.

Vitenberg (US 2003/0051060 A1) discloses multipoint digital subscriber lines with home data network ability.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHAI TRAN

Primary Examiner

Monarate

Art Unit 2611

KT May 11, 2007